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#### Abstract

An operational framework of coordination is inferred and is utilized to decrease the model for an adiabatic tubular Chemical Reactor Theory to an arrangement of algebraic equations. Simple execution, basic activities, and precise arrangements are the basic highlights of the proposed wavelets technique. The numerical outcomes gotten by the present technique have been contrasted and compared with other strategy results. This paper exhibits an ancient numerical strategy of comprehending mathematical model for an adiabatic tubular chemical reactor which forms an irreversible exothermic chemical reaction. For enduring state solution for an adiabatic rounded concoction reactor, the model can be diminished to a conventional differential equation with a parameter in the limit conditions which changed over into a system of nonlinear equation that can be tackled numerically utilizing Taylor wavelets technique (TWM).
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## Introduction

We propose a scientific model that has been created for an adiabatic tubular chemical reactor [1] which forms an irreversible exothermic chemical reaction. The model can be diminished to the second order differential equation with a parameter in the boundary conditions [2] in steady state arrangement as pursue:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathcal{V}-\mathcal{V}+(-\mathcal{V}) \mathrm{e}^{\mathcal{V}}=0 \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

with the limit conditions

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathcal{V}^{\prime}(0)=\mathcal{V}(0), \quad \mathcal{V}^{\prime}(1)=0 \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

[^0]Wherever,
$v$ : symbolize the consistent state temperature of the reaction which must be resolved,
$\mu$ : is the Damkohler number,
$\beta$ : is the adiabatic temperature ascent,
$\lambda$ : is the Peclet number.
We postulate $\lambda>0, \mu>0$ and $\beta 0$. This issue has been contemplated by numerous specialists [1-4]. The steady state temperature of the reaction occurs when the solution of $\mathcal{V}$ is positive. Wavelets are special kinds of oscillatory functions with compact support that provide the basis for numerous important spaces. They have been connected to a wide scope of issues in science and building disciplines. Wavelets are utilized in system investigation, ideal control, numerical examination, flag examination for waveform portrayal and division, time-recurrence examination and quick calculations for simple usage [5] the wavelets procedures have been getting more consideration of late to unravel differential and integral equations [6-7]. Hammerstein integral equations have been unraveled by numerous creators in [8-13] Haar wavelets was chiefly utilized to solve differential equations in [14].

A critical proportion of research work has been enhanced the circumstance the examination of a model for an adiabatic tubular Chemical Reactor theory, inclusive B-spline Wavelet technique [15], an algorithm dependent on Chebyshev development [16] and Adomian decomposition technique [17].

The diagram of this paper is as per the following: In Section 2, we present some essential definitions of wavelets, and we develop Taylor wavelets. In Section 3, Taylor wavelets operational matrix of integration is acquired, and in Section 4, Convergence test of the presented method. Section 5 is dedicated to the numerical technique for settling the Chemical Reactor theory. Numerical examples are given in Section 6 to illustrate the applicability and accuracy of our method. Finally, concluding remarks are given.

## Fundamentals and Documentation

## Wavelets and Taylor Wavelets

Wavelets are a group of capacities developed from widening and interpretation of a solitary capacity called the mother wavelet. At the point when the widening parameter $\tau$ and the interpretation parameter $v$ change ceaselessly, we have the accompanying group of persistent wavelets as [18-21].

$$
\begin{equation*}
\psi_{\tau, \varphi}(x)=|\tau|^{\frac{-1}{2}} \psi\left(\frac{x-\varphi}{\tau}\right), \tau, \varphi \in R, \tau \neq 0 \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

If we straiten the parameters $\tau$ and $v$ and to distinguished values as $\tau=\tau_{0}^{-k}, \varphi=n \varphi_{0} \tau_{0}^{-k}, \tau_{0}>1, \varphi_{0}>1$ integer, the family of distinguished wavelets are:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\psi_{k, n}(x)=\left|\tau_{0}^{-k}\right|^{\frac{-1}{2}} \psi\left(\frac{x-n \varphi_{0} \tau_{0}^{-k}}{\tau_{0}^{-k}}\right)=\left|\tau_{0}\right|^{\frac{k}{2}} \psi\left(\tau_{0}^{k} x-n \varphi_{0}\right) \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$

Wherever $\psi_{k, n}(x)$ compose a wavelet rule for $L^{2}(R)$. TW ${ }_{k, n}(x)=H(k, \hat{n}, m, x)$ have four arguments: $\hat{n}=n-1, n=1,2, \ldots, 2^{k-1}, k$ can expect any positive integer, $m$ is the order for Taylor polynomials and $x$ is the standardized time. We define them as in [22]:

$$
\begin{align*}
& \mathrm{H}_{n, m}(x)= \begin{cases}2^{\frac{k-1}{2}} \mathrm{~T}_{m}\left(2^{k-1} x-\hat{n}\right), & \frac{\hat{n}}{2^{k-1}} \leq x<\frac{\hat{n}+1}{2^{k-1}}, \\
0, & \text { otherwise }\end{cases}  \tag{5}\\
& \mathrm{F}_{m}(x)=\sqrt{2 m+1} x^{m} . \tag{6}
\end{align*}
$$

Wherever $m=0,1,2, \ldots, M-1$ and $n=1,2, \ldots, 2^{k-1}$. The coefficient $\sqrt{2 m+1}$ is for normality, the dilation parameter is $\tau=2^{-(k-1)}$ and the translation parameter is $\varphi=\hat{n} 2^{-(k-1)}$.

## Function Approaches

Suppose $u(x) L^{2}[0,1]$ can be extended with the TWM as:

$$
\begin{equation*}
u(x)=\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} c_{n m} \mathrm{H}_{n m}(x) \tag{7}
\end{equation*}
$$

We can consider the following truncated series for $f(x)$ :

$$
\begin{equation*}
u(x)=\sum_{n=1}^{2^{k-1}} \sum_{m=0}^{M-1} c_{n m} \mathrm{H}_{n m}(x) \tag{8}
\end{equation*}
$$

Wherever $T$ indicates transposition, and $C, \psi(x)$ are $\widehat{m} \times 1\left(\hat{m}=2^{k-1} M\right)$ matrices given by

$$
\begin{gather*}
C=\left[c_{1,0}, c_{1,1}, \ldots, c_{1, M-1}, c_{2,0} \cdots, c_{2, M-1}, \ldots ., c_{2^{k-1,0}}, c_{2^{k-1, M-1}}\right]^{T}  \tag{9}\\
(x)=\left[1,0_{1,1}, \cdots{ }_{1, M-1,2,0} \cdots '_{2, M-1}, \cdots \prime_{2}^{k-1,0_{2}^{\prime}}{ }^{k-1, M-1}\right]^{T}  \tag{10}\\
\text { And } c_{n m}=\int_{0}^{1} u(x) \widetilde{H}_{n m}(x) d x
\end{gather*}
$$

## TWM Operational Matrix of Integration

Utilizing the operational matrix of integration to eliminate integral operations. This matrix can be uniquely determined based on the orthogonal functions. The main objective of this section is to derive a TWM operational matrix of integration. Let $(x)$ be the TW vector defined in Eq. (5), subsequently,

$$
\begin{equation*}
I(x)=P(x) \tag{11}
\end{equation*}
$$

Wherever $I$ and $P$ are the integral operator and the $\hat{m} \times \hat{m}$ operational matrix of integration, respectively.
Utilizing Eq. (5), for $i=1, \cdots, 2^{k-1}$ and $j=0,1, \cdots, M-1$, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
I\left(H_{i j}(x)\right)=I\left(2^{\frac{k-1}{2}} \sqrt{2 j+1}\left(2^{k-1} x-\hat{\imath}\right) \zeta_{\left[2^{\frac{\imath}{k-1}} \frac{\hat{2}}{} 2^{k-1}\right]}(x)\right) \tag{12}
\end{equation*}
$$

Wherever $\zeta_{\left[\frac{\imath}{2^{k-1}}, \frac{\hat{2}}{} 2^{k-1}\right]}(x)$ is the distinctive result realized as:

$$
\zeta_{\left[\frac{\imath}{2^{k-1}, \frac{\hat{2}}{}} \frac{\hat{1}}{}{ }^{k-1}\right]}(x)=\left\{\begin{array}{c}
1, \quad \frac{\hat{\imath}}{2^{k-1}} \leq x \leq \frac{\hat{\imath}+1}{2^{k-1}}  \tag{13}\\
0, \quad \text { otherwise }
\end{array}\right.
$$

While $\hat{\boldsymbol{\imath}}=\boldsymbol{i}-\mathbf{1}$ Subsequently, in Eq. (12), for $\boldsymbol{i}=\mathbf{1}$, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(2^{k-1} x-\hat{\imath}\right)^{j}=\sum_{r=0}^{j}\binom{\boldsymbol{j}}{r} \mathbf{2}^{(k-1) r} x^{r}(-1)^{j-r} \hat{\imath}^{j-r} I\left(x^{r} \zeta_{\left[2^{\left.\frac{i}{k-1} \frac{i+1}{2^{k-1}}\right]}\right.}(x)\right) \tag{14}
\end{equation*}
$$

It is realized that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(2^{k-1} x-\hat{\imath}\right)^{j}=\sum_{r=0}^{j}\binom{j}{r} 2^{(k-1) r} x^{r}(-1)^{j-r} \hat{\imath}^{j-r} \tag{15}
\end{equation*}
$$

Hence, by subrogate Eq. (15) in Eq. (12) for $i=2,3, \ldots, 2^{k-1}$ we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
I\left(H_{i j}(x)\right)=2^{\frac{k-1}{2}} \sqrt{2 j+1} \sum_{r=0}^{j}\binom{j}{r} 2^{(k-1) r}(-1)^{j-r} \hat{\imath}^{j-r} I\left(x^{r} \zeta_{\left[\frac{\hat{\imath}}{} \frac{i}{} \frac{i, 1+2}{}{ }^{\hat{k}-1}\right]}(x)\right) \tag{16}
\end{equation*}
$$

Now, approximating $I\left(x^{r} \zeta_{\left[\frac{\hat{2}}{}{ }^{\frac{1}{k-1}} \frac{1,2^{k+1}}{k-1}\right]}(x)\right)$ by $\hat{m}$ terms of TW, we have

$$
\begin{gather*}
I\left(x^{r} \zeta_{\left[\frac{\hat{2}}{2^{k-1}} \frac{\hat{2}+1}{\left.2^{k-1}\right]}\right.}(x)\right)=h_{i r}(x) \simeq \sum_{n=1}^{2^{k-1}} \sum_{m=0}^{M-1} c_{n m}^{i r} H_{n m}(x)=C_{i r}^{T} H(x)  \tag{17}\\
c_{i r}^{T}=D^{-1}<\mathrm{h}_{i r}(x), \mathrm{H}(x)>, D=<\mathrm{H}(x), \mathrm{H}(x)>
\end{gather*}
$$

Subrogate Eq. (17) into Eqs. (14) and (16), we procure

$$
\begin{align*}
& I(H(x)) \simeq 2^{\frac{k-1}{2}} \sqrt{2 j+1} 2^{(k-1) j} \sum_{n=1}^{2^{k-1}} \sum_{m=0}^{M-1} c_{n m}^{i j} H_{n m}(x) \\
& \quad=\sum_{n=1}^{2^{k-1}} \sum_{m=0}^{M-1} \varepsilon_{n m}^{1 j} H_{n m}(x), j=0,1, \ldots, M-1 \tag{18}
\end{align*}
$$

And

$$
\begin{gathered}
I\left(H_{i j}(x)\right)=2^{\frac{k-1}{2}} \sqrt{2 j+1} \sum_{r=0}^{j}\binom{j}{r} 2^{(k-1) r}(-1)^{j-r} \hat{\imath}^{j-r} \sum_{n=1}^{2^{k-1}} \sum_{m=0}^{M-1} c_{n m}^{i j} H_{n m}(x) \\
=\sum_{n=1}^{2^{k-1}} \sum_{m=0}^{M-1} \varepsilon_{n m}^{i j} H_{n m}(x), i=2,3, \ldots, 2^{k-1}, j=0,1, \ldots ., M-1 \\
\varepsilon_{n m}^{1 j}=2^{\frac{k-1}{2}} \sqrt{2 j+1} 2^{(k-1) j} c_{n m}^{1 j}
\end{gathered}
$$

And

$$
\varepsilon_{n m}^{i j}=2^{\frac{k-1}{2}} \sqrt{2 j+1} \sum_{r=0}^{j}\binom{j}{r} 2^{(k-1) r}(-1)^{j-r} \hat{\imath}^{j-r} c_{n m}^{i r}
$$

Therefore, we get

$$
P=\left(\begin{array}{ccccccc}
\varepsilon_{10}^{10} & \ldots & \varepsilon_{1 M-1}^{10} & \ldots & \varepsilon_{2^{k-1} 0}^{10} & \ldots & \varepsilon_{2^{k-1} M-1}^{10} \\
\varepsilon_{10}^{11} & \ldots & \varepsilon_{1 M-1}^{11} & \ldots & \varepsilon_{2^{k-1} 0}^{11} & \ldots & \varepsilon_{2^{k-1} M-1}^{11} \\
\vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots \\
\varepsilon_{10}^{2^{k-1} M-1} & \ldots & \varepsilon_{1 M-1}^{2^{k-1} M-1} & \ldots & \varepsilon_{2^{k-1} 0}^{2^{k-1} M-1} & \ldots & \varepsilon_{2^{k-1} M-1}^{2^{k-1} M}
\end{array}\right)
$$




Fig.1. Patterns of the matrices $D$ (right) and $P$ (left) at $k=2$ and $M=3$.

## Convergence Test

## Theorem

We postulate that $u \in C^{2}[0,1]$ is represented by TWM, where has 2 vanishing moments.
Subsequently $\left|c_{j, k}\right| \leq \alpha \beta \xi^{2} \frac{2^{-3 j}}{2!} \quad$ wherever
$\alpha=\max \left|u^{\prime \prime}(t)\right|, \beta=\int_{-k}^{2^{j}-k} \breve{\mathrm{H}}(x) d x$ and $\xi \in\left(-k, 2^{j}-k\right)$.
Proof. Taylor expansion of $f \in C^{2}[0,1]$ about arbitrary $x_{0} \in[0,1]$
Can be written as:

$$
\begin{equation*}
u(x)=u\left(x_{0}\right)+u^{\prime}\left(x_{0}\right)\left(x-x_{0}\right)+\frac{\left(x-x_{0}\right)^{2}}{2!} u^{\prime \prime}\left(\delta_{0}\right), \delta \in(0,1) \tag{21}
\end{equation*}
$$

Here $u(x)$ can be specified by TWM as:

$$
u(x)=C^{T}(\mathrm{x})
$$

Wherever

$$
\begin{equation*}
c_{j, k}=\int_{0}^{1} u^{T} \breve{H}_{j, k}(x) d x \tag{22}
\end{equation*}
$$

Putting Eq. (21) in Eq. (22), we get

$$
\begin{equation*}
c_{j, k}=\int_{0}^{1} u\left(x_{0}\right) \breve{H}_{j, k}(x) d x+\int_{0}^{1}\left(x-x_{0}\right) u^{\prime}\left(x_{0}\right) \breve{H}_{j, k}(x)+\int_{0}^{1} \frac{\left(x-x_{0}\right)^{2}}{2!} u^{\prime \prime}(\delta) \breve{H}_{j, k}(x) d x \tag{23}
\end{equation*}
$$

Laying $x_{0}=\frac{k}{2^{j}}$ and $f=2^{j} x-k$ in Eq. (29), we have
$c_{j, k}=2^{-j} u\left(\frac{k}{2 j}\right) \int_{-k}^{2 j-k} \bar{H}_{j, k}(f) d f+\int_{-k}^{2 j-k} f \overline{\mathrm{H}}_{j, k}(f) d f+\frac{u^{\prime \prime}(\delta)}{2!} 2^{-3 j} \int_{-k}^{2 j-k} f^{2} \bar{H}_{j, k}(f) d f(24)$

Suppose $T$ is a linear transformation such that

$$
\mathrm{TH}=\breve{\mathrm{H}}
$$

Subsequently starting the transformation $T$ of the two integral of Eq. (24) we have

$$
\begin{align*}
& c_{j, k}= \\
& 2^{-j} u\left(\frac{k}{2^{j}}\right) \int_{-k}^{2^{j}-k} T\left(\mathrm{H}_{j, k}(f)\right) d u+2^{-j} u^{\prime}\left(\frac{k}{2^{j}}\right) \int_{-k}^{2^{j}-k} u T\left(\mathrm{H}_{j, k}(f)\right) d f+ \\
& \frac{u^{\prime \prime}(\delta)}{2!} 2^{-3 j} \int_{-k}^{2^{j}-k} f^{2} \overline{\mathrm{H}}_{j, k}(f) d f \tag{25}
\end{align*}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& c_{j, k}=2^{-j} u\left(\frac{k}{2^{j}}\right) T \int_{-k}^{2^{j}-k} \mathrm{H}_{j, k}(f) d u+2^{-j} u^{\prime}\left(\frac{k}{2^{j}}\right) T \int_{-k}^{2^{j}-k} f\left(\mathrm{H}_{j, k}(f)\right) d f \\
& \quad+\frac{u^{\prime \prime}(\delta)}{2!} 2^{-3 j} \int_{-k}^{2^{j}-k} f^{2} \overline{\mathrm{H}}_{j, k}(f) d f
\end{aligned}
$$

According to vanishing moments of order $m$, i.e.
$\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} x^{p} \mathrm{H}(\mathrm{x}) \mathrm{dx}=0, \quad p=0,1, \ldots, m-1$,
Subsequently, from (25) we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
c_{j, k}=\frac{u^{\prime \prime}(\delta)}{2!} 2^{-3 j} \int_{-k}^{2^{j}-k} f^{2} \breve{H}_{j, k}(f) d f \tag{26}
\end{equation*}
$$

Stratifying the mean value theorem for integral in Eq. (26), we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
c_{j, k}=\frac{u^{\prime \prime}(\delta)}{2!} 2^{-3 j} \xi^{2} \int_{-k}^{2^{j}-k} f^{2} \breve{H}_{j, k}(f) d f, \quad \xi \epsilon\left(-k, 2^{j}-k\right) \tag{27}
\end{equation*}
$$

Hence

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|c_{j, k}\right| \leq \alpha \beta \xi^{2} \frac{2^{-3 j}}{2!} \tag{28}
\end{equation*}
$$

## Application of the TWM to the Adiabatic Tubular Chemical Reactor

In this portion, we have resolved the model characterized in Eq. (3) utilizing TWM. Initially, we postulate:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathcal{V}(\mathrm{x})=\mathrm{C}^{\mathrm{T}}(\mathrm{x}), 0 \leq \mathrm{x} \leq 1 \tag{29}
\end{equation*}
$$

Now from Eq. (37), we can approximate the functions $\mathcal{V}$ (x) as

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathcal{V}(x)=C^{T} p(x)+y(0) d^{T} p(x) \tag{30}
\end{equation*}
$$

And

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left.\mathcal{V}(x)=\boldsymbol{C}^{T} \boldsymbol{P}^{2} \biguplus(x)+\mathcal{V}^{\prime}(\mathbf{0}) d^{T} p \nmid x\right)+\mathcal{V}(\mathbf{0}) \tag{31}
\end{equation*}
$$

Wherever $P$ is the TWM operational matrix of integration.
Subrogate Esq. (29-31) in Eq. (1), we get
$C^{T} H(x)-C^{T} p H(x)-\mathcal{V}^{\prime}(0) d^{T} p H(x)+\left(\beta-C^{T} P^{2} H(x)+\right.$
$\left.\left.\mathcal{V}^{\prime}(0) d^{T} p H(x)+\mathcal{V}(0)\right) e^{\left(C^{T} P^{2}\right.} \mathrm{H}(x)+\mathcal{V}^{\prime}(0) d^{T} p H(x)+\mathcal{V}(0)\right)=0$

Next, we arrange the Eq. (32) that yield $\widehat{m}$ nonlinear equations which can be illuminated for the obscure vector $C$ by Newton's iterative procedure. The initial and boundary value problems emerging in the theory of gases and elasticity are diminutive to nonlinear shape to solve them. Due to their significant importance, numerous numerical and analytical strategies have been created for these issues due to it is not sensible to deduce its exact solution by an algebraic operation, for instance, iterative numerical solvers dependent on Newton's method [23-28]. It is notable that the underlying estimates for Newton's iterative system are imperative. A strategy like [29] can be utilized for picking the underlying estimates.

$$
\begin{align*}
& y_{n}=x_{n}-\frac{2 y\left(x_{n}\right) y^{\prime}\left(x_{n}\right)}{2 y^{\prime 2}\left(x_{n}\right)-y\left(x_{n}\right) y^{\prime}\left(x_{n}\right)}  \tag{33}\\
& x_{n+1}=x_{n}-\frac{\left.2\left(y\left(x_{n}\right)+y\left(y_{n}\right)\right) y^{\prime}\left(x_{n}\right)\right)}{2 y^{\prime 2}\left(x_{n}\right)-\left(y\left(x_{n}\right)+y\left(y_{n}\right)\right) y^{\prime}\left(x_{n}\right)}
\end{align*}
$$

The nonexclusive flow outline strategy is given in Fig. 1.


Fig.2. Schematic depiction of the suggested methodology for detecting the solution of nonlinear equations dependent on variations of germinal algorithms
present procedure and the strategies are given in ref. [2] (i.e. Adomian's decomposition method, Contraction mapping principle and Shooting method). Since the correct arrangement of this issue isn't known, from the figure it manifests that we get accurate results by TWM at $k=2, M=3$ in contrast with different techniques. We will get a more accurate result by increasing the value of $k, M$. To approve the utilization of TWM to (1), (2), we utilize specific estimations of the parameters at $(\lambda=10, \beta=3$ and $=0.02)$ as demonstrated in figure 3.


Fig.3. Numerical results acquired by twm at $k=2, M=3$, with the results of other available techniques in ref. [3]

Table 1: Comparison of numerical results acquired by TWM at $k=2, M=3$, with the results of other technique ( $B$-spline wavelet method, Adomian's technique, the contraction mapping principle, Shooting technique and Sinc-Galerkin with

$$
\lambda=10, \beta=3 \text { and }=0.02)
$$

| $\boldsymbol{x}$ | TWM at <br> $\boldsymbol{k}=\mathbf{2 ,}$ <br> $\boldsymbol{M}=\mathbf{3}$ | B-spline <br> wavelet <br> method | ADM | Shooting <br> Method | CMP | ChFD <br> Method | Sinc- <br> Galerkin <br> at $N=10$ | Sinc- <br> Galerkin <br> at $\boldsymbol{N}=\mathbf{2 0}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 0 | 0.006048 | 0.006045 | 0.006048 | 0.006048 | 0.006048 | 0.006048 | 0.006049 | 0.006048 |
| 0.2 | 0.018192 | 0.018194 | 0.018192 | 0.018192 | 0.018192 | 0.018192 | 0.018197 | 0.018192 |
| 0.4 | 0.030424 | 0.030424 | 0.030424 | 0.030424 | 0.030424 | 0.030424 | 0.030437 | 0.030424 |
| 0.6 | 0.042669 | 0.042675 | 0.042669 | 0.042669 | 0.042669 | 0.042669 | 0.042649 | 0.042669 |
| 0.8 | 0.054371 | 0.054332 | 0.054371 | 0.054371 | 0.054371 | 0.054371 | 0.054383 | 0.054371 |
| 1 | 0.061458 | 0.062030 | 0.061458 | 0.061458 | 0.061458 | 0.061458 | 0.061459 | 0.061458 |

As appeared in Table 1, the outcomes utilizing present technique with $k=2, M=3$ concur with those of the B-spline wavelet method, Shooting method, ChFD method, Sinc-Galerkin at $N=20$ and ADM up to the sixth decimal place.

## Conclusion

We have built up a model for an adiabatic tubular chemical reactor theory utilizing TWM at $k=2, M=3$. The operational matrix of integration of TW is determined and utilized to reduce the solution of the adiabatic tubular chemical reactor theory to a system of algebraic equations fathoms dependent on variants of Newton strategies. The numerical results acquired by present strategy have been compared with other numerical and semi-analytical technique acquired by Contraction mapping principle, shooting technique, Adomian's decomposition technique Shooting Method and Chebyshev finite difference technique. The strategy is computationally appealing and applications are shown through an illustrative paradigm.
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